Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-23 17:35:13

2. Agency: 393

3. Bureau: 00

4. Name of this Investment: Holdings Management System (HMS)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 393-00-01-04-01-0038-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? *
- 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

NARA has recognized the need to improve its business processes to manage and track the physical aspects of permanent, hard-copy, archival records in its custody. HMS enables NARA to more effectively meet strategic goal two (We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible) by enabling staff to more accurately locate Federal records holdings across all facilities, efficiently identify available and suitable space for storing archival holdings, reliably track the chain of custody of NARA archival holdings over time (responsibility and accountability), effectively document preservation needs and track actions done to NARA archival holdings over time, as well as reduce labor burden for tracking and reporting requirements. Before HMS was available, NARA had only partially automated and standardized business processes to manage hard-copy archival records. It did not use a common, integrated technology application to perform its tasks. Individual NARA units had to develop unique procedures and applications to carry out their work. As a result, the organization used multiple applications, paper-logs, and forms to manage hard-copy archival records. HMS integrates the many stand-alone automated and manual systems currently used throughout NARA for the management of hard-copy archival records into one system.

- a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned)alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.
- 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? * a.If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *
- 10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?
 - Name: *
 - Phone Number: *
 - Email: *
- 11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this
 investment
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

| Financial management system name(s) | System acronym | Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| * | * | * |

- a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *
 - computer system security requirement;
 - internal control system requirement;
 - o core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
 - Federal accounting standard;
 - U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
 - o this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
 - Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

| | Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | PY1 and earlier | PY 2009 | CY 2010 | BY 2011 | BY+1 2012 | BY+2 2013 | BY+3 2014 | BY+4 and beyond | Total | | | | |
| Planning: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance : | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| Disposition Costs (optional): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| | | Government F | TE Costs sh | ould not be ir | ncluded in the | amounts pro | ovided above. | | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |
| TOTAL(inclu ding FTE costs) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | |

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

| | | Ta | able 1: Cont | racts/Task C | orders Table | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Contract or Task Order Number | Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16) | Has the contr act been awar ded (Y/N) | If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date? | Start date of Contract/T ask Order | End date of Contract/T ask Order | Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M) | Is this an Inter agen cy Acqu isitio n? (Y/N) | Is it perfo rman ce base d? (Y/N) | Com petiti vely awar ded? (Y/N) | What, if any, alternativ e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A) | Is EVM in the contr act? (Y/N) |
| NAMA03F0041 | Time and Material | Υ | 2003-05-27 | 2003-06-02 | 2008-06-01 | \$8.7 | * | * | * | * | * |
| NAMA08F0060 | Fixed Price | Υ | 2008-05-01 | 2008-05-01 | 2013-04-30 | \$3.4 | * | * | * | * | * |
| NAMA03F0069 | Time and Material | Y | 2003-09-30 | 2003-09-30 | 2007-09-29 | \$9.0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| NAMA04F0059 | Time and Material | Y | 2004-08-05 | 2004-08-05 | 2007-03-30 | \$0.5 | * | * | * | * | * |
| NAMA07F0111 | Mixed | Υ | 2007-09-12 | 2007-09-17 | 2012-09-16 | \$26.2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| NAMA08F0088 | Mixed | Υ | 2008-09-23 | 2008-09-23 | 2013-09-22 | \$7.0 | * | * | * | * | * |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a.If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

| | | Tab | ole 1: Performan | ce Information Ta | able | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2008 | SDLC Requirements Phase | * | * | Requirements Phase | Develop requirements | Complete by FY2008Q2 | Completed March 2008 |
| 2008 | SDLC Preliminary Design Phase | * | * | Preliminary Design Phase | Preliminary Design | Complete by FY2008Q3 | Completed May 2008 |
| 2008 | SDLC Detailed Design Phase | * | * | Detailed Design Phase | Detailed Design | Complete by FY2008Q4 | Completed August 2008 |
| 2009 | SDLC Detailed Design Phase | * | * | Development Phase | Development | Complete by FY2009Q2 | Completed May 2009 |
| 2009 | SDLC Detailed Design Phase | * | * | Unit Testing Phase | Unit Testing | Completed by FY2009Q2 | Completed May 2009 |
| 2009 | SDLC Detailed Design Phase | * | * | Acceptance Testing Phase | Acceptance Testing | Completed by FY2009Q3 | Completed Jun 2009 |
| 2010 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Cubic feet "at-risk" of holdings treated | 85,000 Feet | 100,000 Cubic Feet | TBD |
| 2010 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and secure access to our holdings anywhere, anytime. | * | * | Percentage of items requested in our research rooms are furnished within 1 hour of request or scheduled pull time. | 93% | 94% | TBD |
| 2010 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Percentage of traditional NARA archival holdings are stored in appropriate space. | 82% traditional | 85% | TBD |
| 2010 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Percent of our holdings have been processed to the point where researchers can have efficient access to them. | 41% | 10% increase | TBD |
| 2011 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | • | * | Cubic feet "at-risk" of holdings treated | 85,000 cubic feet | 90,000 cubic feet | TBD |
| 2011 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and | * | * | Researcher information request (i.e., | TBD | Decrease | TBD |
| | | | | | | | |

| Table 1: Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results | | | |
| | secure access to our holdings anywhere, anytime. | | | Pull Slip) error rate. | | | | | | |
| 2011 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | % availability of HMS application to end users. | TBD | 98.86% | TBD | | | |
| 2011 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Percent of risk assessments recorded in HMS | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2012 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Cubic feet of "at-risk" holdings treated. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2012 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and secure access to our holdings anywhere, anytime. | * | * | Researcher information request (i.e., Pull Slip) error rate. | TBD | Decrease | TBD | | | |
| 2012 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | % availability of HMS application to end users. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2012 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Percent of risk assessments recorded in HMS. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2013 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Cubic feet of "at-risk" holdings treated. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2013 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and secure access to our holdings | ٠ | * | Researcher information request (i.e., Pull Slip) error rate. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |

| Table 1: Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|--------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results | | | |
| | anywhere, anytime. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | • | * | % availability of HMS application to end users. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2013 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Percent of risk assessments recorded in HMS. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2014 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Cubic feet of "at-risk" holdings treated. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2014 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and secure access to our holdings anywhere, anytime. | • | * | Researcher information request (i.e., Pull Slip) error rate. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2014 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | % availability of HMS application to end users. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2014 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | • | • | Percent of risk assessments recorded in HMS. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2015 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | Cubic feet of "at-risk" holdings treated. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |
| 2015 | Goal 4: We will provide prompt, easy, and secure access to our holdings anywhere, anytime. | • | • | Researcher information request (i.e., Pull Slip) error rate. | TBD | TBD | TBD | | | |

| | | Tab | ole 1: Performano | ce Information Ta | ıble | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|--------|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2015 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | * | % availability of HMS application to end users. | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| 2015 | Goal 2: We will preserve and process records to ensure access by the public as soon as legally possible. | * | • | Percent of risk assessments recorded in HMS. | TBD | TBD | TBD |

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

| | 1. Compa | arison of Actua | al Work Comple | eted and Actua | l Costs to Curr | ent Approved I | Baseline | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Description of Milestones | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete |
| Planning | \$1.5 | \$1.5 | 2006-06-30 | 2006-06-30 | 2007-09-30 | 2007-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Analysis | \$2.2 | \$2.2 | 2007-01-15 | 2007-01-15 | 2008-01-31 | 2008-01-31 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Design | \$1.5 | \$1.5 | 2008-02-01 | 2008-02-01 | 2008-08-31 | 2008-08-31 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| FY 2008 Operations and Maintenance | \$0.2 | \$0.2 | 2007-10-01 | 2007-10-01 | 2008-09-30 | 2008-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Deploy and Implement Increment 1 - All | \$1.6 | \$1.6 | 2009-01-02 | 2009-01-02 | 2009-07-07 | 2009-07-07 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| FY 2009 Operations and Maintenance | \$0.3 | \$0.3 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Increment 2 Analysis and Configuration - Al | \$0.5 | \$0.5 | 2009-08-01 | 2009-08-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Increment 2 Data Analysis and Migration - Al | \$0.4 | \$0.3 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-11-30 | 2010-03-12 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Increment 2 Testing, Training and Deployment - AI | \$0.4 | \$0.3 | 2009-12-01 | 2009-11-23 | 2010-01-30 | 2010-03-29 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| FY 2010 Operations and Maintenance | \$0.6 | \$0.5 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 83.00% | 83.00% |
| Increment 3 Analysis and Configuration - Phase I Regional Sites | \$0.5 | \$0.5 | 2010-03-01 | 2009-12-14 | 2010-05-30 | | 100.00% | 80.00% |
| Increment 3 Data Analysis and Migration - Phase I Regional Sites | \$0.4 | \$0.4 | 2010-06-01 | 2009-12-14 | 2010-07-31 | | 100.00% | 90.00% |
| Increment 3 Testing, Training and Deployment - Phase I Regional Sites | \$0.4 | \$0.2 | 2010-08-01 | 2010-08-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 50.00% | 50.00% |
| FY 2011 Operations and Maintenance | * | * | 2010-10-01 | | 2011-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 4 | * | * | 2010-10-01 | | 2011-01-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |

| | 1. Compa | arison of Actua | al Work Comple | eted and Actua | l Costs to Curi | ent Approved | Baseline | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Description of Milestones | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete |
| Analysis and Configuration - Phase II Regional Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Increment 4 Data Analysis and Migration - Phase II Regional Sites | * | * | 2011-02-01 | | 2011-05-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 4 Testing, Training and Deployment - Phase II Regional Sites | * | * | 2011-06-01 | | 2011-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| FY 2012 Operations and Maintenance | * | * | 2011-10-01 | | 2012-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 5 Analysis and Configuration - Phase III Regional Sites | * | * | 2011-10-01 | | 2012-01-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 5 Data Analysis and Migration - Phase III Regional Sites | * | * | 2012-02-01 | | 2012-05-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 5 Testing, Training and Deployment - Phase III Regional Sites | * | * | 2012-06-01 | | 2012-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| FY 2013 Operations and Maintenance | * | * | 2012-10-01 | | 2013-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 6 Analysis and Configuration - Phase I Library Sites | * | * | 2012-10-01 | | 2013-01-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 6 Data Analysis and Migration - Phase I Library Sites | * | * | 2013-02-01 | | 2013-05-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 6 Testing, Training and Deployment - Phase I Library Sites | * | * | 2013-06-01 | | 2013-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| FY 2014 Operations and Maintenance | * | * | 2013-10-01 | | 2014-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Increment 7 | * | * | 2013-10-01 | | 2014-01-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |

| | 1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Description of Milestones | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete | | | | |
| Analysis and Configuration - Phase II Library Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increment 7 Data Analysis and Migration - Phase II Library Sites | * | * | 2014-02-01 | | 2014-05-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| Increment 7 Testing, Training and Deployment - Phase II Library Sites | * | * | 2014-06-01 | | 2014-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |
| FY 2015 Operations and Maintenance | * | * | 2014-10-01 | | 2015-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | |

^{* -} Indicates data is redacted.